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INTRODUCTION

Students depend on their leaders to appreciate their viewpoints, support them, and keep them safe, all while engaging in a shared educational enterprise that, by design, presents challenging learning experiences. They depend on leaders to set expectations and apply practices that promote learning and engagement but discourage behavior that undermines their sense of safety and well-being. During divisive moments, students especially appreciate this leadership.

A theme of this guide is that it is urgent for college and university leaders to expand their efforts to support students and promote respectful treatment of each other, as they react to the violence occurring in Israel and Gaza. With a series of hate incidents against students, violence has now also arrived closer to home for students sharing an identity with both Gaza and Israel. Students are affected as well by the surge of harassment and hate crimes against Israeli, Jewish, Palestinian, and Arab people nationwide. They have been doxed for speaking out in ways that have led even to canceled employment offers. As they check their phones multiple times a day, they are exposed to the dramatic escalation in the number of angry posts, many of which contain explicitly violent language. In other words, students are mourning, angry, uncertain, and afraid. Most do not believe that their college and university leaders are doing enough to support them.

Still, campus leaders’ decisions will not be easy ones. The violence in the Middle East presents issues that have not arisen on campuses recently. As Chancellor Carol Christ of the University of California – Berkeley said, “This situation is so different from other situations of controversy in that it has really split the student body.... there is deep, deep division on the campus.” While some students seek more protection, others are upset that administrators are interfering with their rights to express themselves through protest. Administrators must often find the narrow thread between institutional values of both a welcoming environment and rigorous free speech and also between legal requirements to protect free speech/assembly and to take action when students’ well-being is in question.

Taking these tensions into account, this guide offers promising ideas for college and university leaders to broaden and deepen their support of students and to reinforce norms of humane behavior in the midst of vigorous disagreements. It begins with an executive summary that can be viewed as an action checklist. The chapters keyed to each number on the summary then develop each element in depth, including sharing illustrations of effective practices by college and university leaders. The appendix includes additional resources, identifying groups that sponsor dialogue, offer trainings for staff, or provide mediating services. Because of the role played by the evolving law on free speech rights and civil rights, campus leaders will want to consult with legal counsel on that as well; this guide does not provide guidance on the legal issues. In addressing these vital challenges, the guide draws on the experience of educators, mediators, and communication experts from across the nation and the direct input of those listed in the Acknowledgments at the end of the guide.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
Leading a divided campus: ideas and illustrations

The steps listed in this executive summary begin when campus administrators become first aware of a concern, conflict, or divisive incident. This summary of steps also addresses responses to hate incidents that deeply affect the campus community. The steps apply whether the incident occurred on campus or unfolded elsewhere but deeply affected students, as with the violence in Israel and Gaza. Leaders, and those working with them, will sometimes need to address the first three tasks below in a few hectic minutes or hours. Otherwise, false narratives may take hold on social media, and students may be frightened, engage in speech or conduct that exacerbates the situation, and begin saying to each other that administrators do not care about them. The applicability of the ideas will vary depending on the moment, as there are times when emotions are too raw for discussions about differences to be productive and times when they are just right.

SECTION 1
ASSESSMENT: Become engaged right away, once you learn about a divisive issue likely to affect the campus community, such as contested issues on campus, a hate incident, or events in the world outside the university. Ask other campus leaders to do the same. Reach out to learn how each community on campus is affected and responding. Assess the nature and intensity of their differing reactions and needs.

SECTION 2
DRAFT COMMUNICATIONS: Announce to the campus community what has occurred on the campus and the implications—in a tone that is neither routine nor alarmist but rather an expression of heartfelt care for the well-being of students. Include, as pertinent:
• the details that the campus community urgently desires to know as they assess their safety and well-being.
• for hate incidents, a focus on and explanation of the harm caused and violation of norms, but trying to avoid augmenting the perpetrator’s notoriety.
• where students can check to learn new details as they emerge and where they can report hate incidents.
• those you have consulted and their reactions.
• actions to assure safety for members of the campus community.
• affirmation of free speech/assembly while underscoring the university’s core values and behavior expectations, including regard for others’ need to be respected, feel safe, and express themselves, and condemnation of identity-group hate-based targeting.
• how you will let them know further developments and decisions, describing the values and opinions that will be taken into account in making additional decisions.
SECTION 3

SELECT THE MESSENGERS AND MODE FOR COMMUNICATIONS: Ask those persons trusted by each affected campus stakeholder group to add their voices to yours on the content noted just above or quote them. Use formats (press conference, town hall, email, social media, etc.) that together connect with multiple audiences. Counsel faculty and staff on how to reach out to students and each other on an individual basis to offer support.

SECTION 4

ENHANCE STUDENT SUPPORT: Form teams of decisionmakers and experts to do the following quickly, implementing each when it fits the climate and emotions:

• Augment resources as necessary to assure that students are and feel safe and are able to participate in their educational programs.
• Encourage and guide students to extend individual support to friends.
• Make timely suggestions to faculty, staff, residence hall and student leaders, faith leaders, parents, and community groups on how they might reach out to students and each other to extend support.
• Organize vigils in safe locations if students are mourning.
• Provide trusted places for students to vent.
• Create options for students who want to contribute, such as participating in de-escalation teams or preparing humanitarian aid, when feasible.
• Arrange mediators who can interface with those groups likely to ask the university to make changes.
• Take advantage of the “teachable moment” to offer training in skills and knowledge that will be useful in careers and helpful in the moment.
• Let students know what is permitted or prohibited under student codes and laws affecting demonstrations.
• Establish or refer to existing trusted reporting sites, counseling, reports to campus, and condemnation for hate incidents.
• Identify and prepare for likely future flash points that will affect students.
• Listen to students and message about these options constantly.
• Begin preparations to host student discussions of the underlying conflict, to be held when students are ready to listen across their differences, appreciate each other’s experiences, and begin to heal.

SECTION 5

PLAN APPROACHES TO CROWD EVENTS AND REACH UNDERSTANDINGS WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT:

Consider what will be done in each crowd situation. Protocols with law enforcement can reflect shared understandings of what approaches and procedures should be used under various circumstances, should protests, disruptions, and hate incidents occur. Plans for crowd events will vary, depending on the range of goals among participants and the likelihood of violence. Arrange a command post that includes campus administrators with law enforcement to modify plans as an event unfolds. Do joint planning as well for the possibility of hate incidents. Once volatile events cease, university leaders can usefully organize staff, faculty, students, and other constituencies to take additional steps discussed in this guide, so that they can learn from an after-incident analysis, plan for future divisive situations, and repair fractures in campus communities to encourage reconciliation.
ASSESSMENT

Become engaged right away, once you learn about a divisive issue likely to affect the campus community, such as contested issues on campus, a hate incident, or events in the world outside the university. Ask other campus leaders to do the same. Reach out to learn how each community on campus is affected and responding. Assess the nature and intensity of their differing reactions and needs.

WHY TAKE THESE STEPS?
Checking with those affected, both the persons targeted and those who share an identity group with those persons and therefore might also be affected, as well as others in the community, can be valuable. It helps avoid adopting an understandable, though often erroneous, approach of making educated guesses about how events are impacting stakeholder groups based on your own reactions. Checking in and listening to those affected, and others, allows you to direct your first actions effectively, and it demonstrates that you are genuine in your efforts to understand the needs of affected students.

Prompted by the October 7 attacks and subsequent violence in Israel and Gaza, University of Wisconsin-Madison Chancellor Jennifer Mnookin did an assessment of what students had experienced and the range, nature, and intensity of student emotions. She then incorporated those insights to shape this well-received communication to the campus community. It helped students recognize that she had listened and was committed to promoting understanding among the students:

"In separate conversations I have had over the last several weeks with Palestinian, Arab and Muslim students and Jewish and Israeli students, some have told me that they have experienced fear on our campus, or in Madison, based on their identities. Jewish and Israeli students have told me about having feelings of unease wearing a Star of David necklace or kippah. And Palestinian, Arab, and Muslim students have shared similar discomfort with wearing a keffiyeh or hijab. And some in each group told me that they have experienced worse – people calling them names, or, in one instance, throwing things at them. Students have expressed feelings that some of those who disagree with them vehemently about politics have also ceased to see or recognize their fundamental humanity." viii

Careful listening can also lead to university administrators, faculty, and staff taking actions that are responsive to what the students seek and, given the level of emotions and their impact on students’ well-being, are situated to do. In a memo to the Cardozo law faculty, following October 7, Dean Melanie Leslie used what she learned in her ongoing conversations with students to suggest an action that met the students’ expressed need:
"I spoke with a group of students...yesterday. Several mentioned how much it means to them when one of their classmates or a professor shows concern by simply asking how they are doing, but noted that this seldom happens. One student singled out [professor’s name] as a positive example, stating that [this professor] began class this week by taking a moment to acknowledge that students might be in distress and asking them to let her know if they were unprepared on any given day as a result. A few simple sentences had a profoundly positive impact. Please do what you can – very small gestures of kindness and empathy will make a very powerful difference."ix

POSSIBLE STRATEGIES
The personal conversations reflected in the statements by Chancellor Mnookin and Dean Leslie communicated a heartfelt concern for students, but leaders may be forced by the urgency of other duties to delegate the assessment to others. When delegating, it may be helpful to identify to the relevant staff the type of information that would be valued for shaping an informed response. You could begin by customizing the following checklist for those staff doing the assessment:
CHECKLIST FOR LISTENERS

Through an empathic and compassionate conversation, please listen for and report back on the topics listed below. Please take with you a list of campus and community resources that might be helpful to students or follow up with them afterward with these resources.

Listen for and make observations about:

For those who personally experienced an incident or conflict:
- Reactions, including feelings
- Intensity of their feelings
- Whether they feel safe
- Whether they feel comfortable continuing to participate in university/college activities
- Any support that we can provide
- What they are seeking
- Comments regarding others who have been affected
- What they wish other community members would understand about the situation
- How they want to be involved, if at all, in developing the university’s/college’s response to the situation

For those who share an identity group with those who are personally experiencing an incident or conflict:
- The same issues as above, plus: What, if any, ways they connect the current situation to something that happened historically on this campus or elsewhere

For those who are trusted by each portion of the campus:
- What are they hearing in terms of students’ emotions, support sought, personal and academic plans, requests for support from the college/university
- What they think will happen next
- Whether they agree with at least some of what the university/college leaders express and will make statements, either publicly or to friends, that reflect that agreement.
- If they are willing to speak out also or be quoted by the president on topics of agreement or open to joining with the president in speaking out
CONCLUDING THOUGHTS

Leaders may be torn between conducting an assessment and getting a statement out quickly. By delegating in these urgent situations, they can accomplish both. In fact, it is critical that leaders do both. When time permits, the leader’s engagement in making the assessment will humanize the communications to the campus community.
When a divisive conflict or hate incident occurs, announce to the campus community what occurred and the implications. The tone of the message is significant: hopefully, it is neither routine nor alarmist but rather an expression of heartfelt care for the well-being of students. Include, as pertinent, in initial and subsequent communications:

- the details that the campus community urgently desires to know as they assess their safety and well-being.
- for hate incidents, a focus on and explanation of the harm caused and violation of norms but trying to avoid augmenting the perpetrator’s notoriety.
- where they can check to learn new details as they emerge and where they can report hate incidents.
- those you have consulted and their reactions.
- actions to assure safety for members of the campus community.
- affirming free speech/assembly while underscoring the university’s core values and behavior expectations, including regard for others’ need to be respected, feel safe, and express themselves and condemning identity-group hate based targeting.
- how you will let them know further developments and decisions, describing the values and opinions that will be taken into account in making additional decisions.

WHY TAKE THIS STEP?
Announcing what has occurred often reduces anxiety and increases trust in the administration’s transparency. An early communication may forestall false narratives before they take hold on social media, in class hallways, and dormitory lounges. This is a reasonable strategy even if a hurtful campus event is not yet generally known, for, at some point, it will become publicized campus-wide. At that point, previous nondisclosure risks that some students will interpret that silence as a cover-up attempt. Referencing who has been consulted and their reactions and concerns, all the while focusing on the harm it caused, helps some students feel heard and others understand the reactions of those persons directly affected or those sharing an identity group with them. The statement, importantly, can also frame the situation in terms of college/university values. A letter to the American University community from President Sylvia M. Burwell, as she communicated with her community regarding an incident involving the appearance of Nazi signs and statements in a university building, illustrates (with annotations added) this approach:

The details that the campus community wants, quickly, even if they have not yet heard:
“Last night, swastikas and a Nazi slogan were graffitied on two room doors and in a bathroom in Letts Hall.”

Framing the situation by connecting campus values to the harm caused, in a tone of heartfelt concern:
“This hateful act of antisemitism is reprehensible. Jewish students live in both rooms where the doors were vandalized. When we are so deeply focused on our community of care – supporting each and every member of our community who is in pain and feeling scared and vulnerable – it is unacceptable that our Jewish community was targeted and harmed through this act. Hate speech will not be tolerated. It violates the values that define our community.”
Who has been consulted and what decisions are being made:
"We are supporting the students in the involved rooms... AUPD (American University Police Department) is thoroughly investigating this incident... Anyone found responsible will be subject to university policies and appropriate disciplinary actions."

How to report and what is being done about safety:
“If any member of the community has any information about the Letts Hall incident, please contact AUPD at 202-885-2999 or with the RAVE Guardian app, where anonymous tips can also be submitted. AUPD is operating with increased awareness across campus to support the safety of the community. Anyone who feels unsafe can call the AUPD emergency number at 202-885-3636, use the blue light emergency telephones on campus, or use the RAVE Guardian app.”

What values will govern and who will be consulted as more decisions are made:
“As we address this incident and the larger issues causing pain in many parts of our community, we are focused on supporting our community members, hearing their concerns, and working to ensure their safety... We will not waver in our focus on safety and support for our community.”

A similar illustration might reflect that hate incidents are proliferating amid current violence in the Middle East against Palestinian and Arab students as well. A horrifying shooting of three Palestinian-American students identified with the Palestinian cause by clothing and speech left one student paralyzed and their three universities deep in grief.

POSSIBLE STRATEGIES
Several situations present conflicting values and thus thorny strategy issues.

Which harmful acts should leaders condemn in a public fashion?
The tension on campus that results from actions or speech regularly present complex connections between cherished values that are conflicting: protecting the well-being of students colliding with supporting free speech and assembly. A potential standard for judging when to announce and ameliorate a hate incident might be the one announced in a November 2023 “Dear Colleague” letter from the U.S. Department of Education Office of Civil Rights:

“Harassing conduct can be verbal or physical and need not be directed at a particular individual. OCR interprets Title VI to mean that the following type of harassment creates a hostile environment: unwelcome conduct based on shared ancestry or ethnic characteristics that, based on the totality of circumstances, is subjectively and objectively offensive and is so severe or pervasive that it limits or denies a person’s ability to participate in or benefit from the recipient’s education program or activity. Schools must take immediate and effective action to respond to harassment that creates a hostile environment.”

This standard – subjectively and objectively offensive plus so severe or pervasive that it adversely affects students’ ability to participate in or benefit from their educational program – represents a mandatory floor for engagement by leaders at those colleges and universities that receive federal funding and are thus bound by Title VI of the federal Civil Rights Act. And it represents a reasonable approach for other institutions.

What should be included in the statement about the tensions between holding perpetrators accountable and not violating their Constitutionally-protected rights of free speech and assembly?

This tension presents a challenging situation. Sometimes students follow leaders at rallies in voicing a chant without understanding the historical context of the wording. Regrettably, that crowd chanting itself engenders fear among those who understand its context all too well. Leaders can address the fear and explain the reasons to students without necessarily disciplining them for it; the two issues can be distinct.

Some initial statements from leadership to the campus finesse this free speech versus accountability tension by using broad terms such as "unacceptable" rather than stipulating whether the act or speech violates the student code of conduct or the law. Reflecting such an approach, President Burwell, in her statement noted above, says, noncommittally, "Anyone found responsible will be subject to university policies and appropriate disciplinary actions." Another generally stated approach appeared in a statement to the Yale University community by President Peter Salovey in December 2023:

“Yale stands resolutely as a place that welcomes many beliefs, identities, views, and cultures, and we are unwavering in our devotion to free expression, open dialogue, and civil debate. Our right to free expression does not obviate our responsibility as colleagues and peers to one another. Yale aims to be a place where all students feel free to express their views inside and outside the classroom. Yale will not tolerate discrimination and harassment, including threats of violence, intimidation, or coercion.”

At some point, someone may ask what this general language means in terms of what conduct or speech will lead to discipline or prosecution or, comparably complex, whether speech will be stopped proactively. Indiana University Provost Lauren Robel, a constitutional law scholar, faced a similar challenge in 2019 when students demanded the firing of a professor whose posts on his private social media account expressed “racist, sexist, and homophobic views.” She defended both free speech rights and students’ safety and well-being interests with the following statement to the IU community:

“Various officials at Indiana University have been inundated in the last few days with demands that he [the Professor] be fired. We cannot, nor would we, fire [him] for his posts as a private citizen, as vile and stupid as they are, because the First Amendment of the United States Constitution forbids us to do so. That is not a close call. Indiana University has a strong nondiscrimination policy, and as an institution adheres to values that are the opposite of [his] expressed values... If he acted upon his expressed views in the workplace to judge his students or colleagues on the basis of their gender, sexual orientation, or race to their detriment, such as in promotion and tenure decisions or in grading, he would be acting both illegally and in violation of our policies and we would investigate and address those allegations... Moreover, in my view, students who are women, gay, or of color could reasonably be concerned that someone with [his] expressed views would not give them
a fair shake in his classes, and that his expressed biases would infect his perceptions of their work. Given the strength and longstanding nature of his views, these concerns are reasonable. Therefore, the Kelley School [of Business] is taking a number of steps to ensure that students not add the baggage of bigotry to their learning experience: No student will be forced to take [his] class... The Kelley School will provide alternatives... [he] will use double-blind grading... If other steps are needed... Indiana University will take them. The First Amendment is strong medicine, and works both ways. All of us are free to condemn views that we find reprehensive... I condemn, in the strongest terms, [his] views on race, gender, and sexuality... But my strong disagreement with his views—indeed, the fact that I find them loathsome—is not reason for Indiana University to violate the Constitution of the United States.” xv

In this statement, Provost Robel supported the Professor’s free speech rights but used a form of accountability – public condemnation – that, combined with developing alternative classes or grading procedures, responded to student interests in their ability to continue to participate in or benefit from their respective education program. Most of the IU community accepted her approach.

Both parts of this tension between Free Speech and non-harassment are complex and subject to change through evolving caselaw. Leaders can work with legal counsel, emphasizing in that interaction their desire or imperative to publicly condemn reprehensible, even if not discipline-worthy, acts or speech and to explain to the campus community how student interests are protected consistent with supporting robust speech.

**Should the university/college take a position on the merits of an off-campus conflict that also divides the campus community?**

There is no easy answer to this compelling question. Considerations vary for public, private, and religion-affiliated institutions. Many public universities avoided weighing in on the merits of the Israel and Gaza violence, despite demands from students and others to take a stand and, in some cases, pressures from donors.xvi Instead, these university leaders issued statements about the students’ situation – much like those quoted in this section. This institutional posture became more controversial as student groups took positions on the fighting. Those institutions that have taken positions
on the Middle East violence, often private and some religiously-affiliated, also faced resistance from their communities and demands that they reverse course as casualties mounted.\textsuperscript{xvi}

Kalamazoo College President Jorge Gonzalez explained on November 30, 2023 the decision not to take a position on who was right or wrong in the violence in a letter to the college community:

“In the past several weeks, I have received many conflicting heartfelt requests from members of our community calling on the College to make a statement regarding the horrifying conflict happening in the Middle East. Some are dismayed by the institution’s silence, and I want to take a moment to explain why we do not take an institutional position on this, or any other, geopolitical event. Despite the growing expectation that colleges and universities take stands and choose sides in global matters. Kalamazoo College is, by its very nature, not a monolith, but a collection of individuals from across the country and around the globe. On geopolitical matters, it would be disingenuous to presume that the president or the administration of the College can speak to the beliefs and ideologies of every person on our campus and in our extended community. And with so much conflict and tragic loss of life occurring in areas all over the world, we could not possibly touch on them all, nor can we choose to speak out on some and ignore others. Our focus is on the campus community, where we can have the greatest and most meaningful effect – ensuring that our students, faculty and staff who are affected by the tragedies around the world receive support and care. It is also critical to uphold our educational mission, which values academic freedom and freedom of speech in the context of a diverse and complex world. Additionally, we emphasize that our beliefs – however deeply held, however strongly advocated – should never manifest as violence or harassment toward people of differing perspectives or identities...” \textsuperscript{xviii}

Especially when emotions are raw, it may be worthwhile to ask an expert in the underlying conflict to review your potential communications and alert you to words that will lead some students to conclude that you have taken a side in the conflict, even if that was not your intent. Thus, in the midst of violence in Israel and Gaza, words such as “occupation” or “oppressor,” for example, might be interpreted as mis-identifying or discounting one side.” \textsuperscript{xix}

CONCLUDING THOUGHTS

Despite the important complexities described above, promptly speaking to the campus community, and doing so quickly and frequently, is still a wise approach. Speaking out has the potential to calm anxieties, promote understanding among students, reinforce norms of humane treatment, communicate care when people are hurting, frame the issues for the campus, and defuse false narratives.
SELECT THE MESSENGERS AND MODE FOR COMMUNICATIONS

Ask those persons trusted by each affected campus stakeholder group to add their voices to yours or quote them. Use formats (press conference, town hall, email, social media, etc.) and approaches that together connect with multiple audiences.

WHY TAKE THESE STEPS?

Trust in institutional leaders often dissipates during a conflict. Many students isolate when they are afraid or they seek to avoid argument. Efforts by institutional leaders to persuade students to treat each other with respect and compassion are difficult to “land” effectively. Persuasion often requires repeated messaging from trusted persons that somehow penetrates the information fog. Thus, in addition to the usual challenges of reaching students who interact in different ways with campus life – undergraduate, professional, graduate, non-traditional students – both the need and challenges are greater during conflict.

University and college leaders can accomplish more by heightening their efforts to join with trusted voices and to use multiple modes of communication with frequency.

POSSIBLE STRATEGIES

Fortunately, there are illustrations of leaders whose communications effectively penetrated the trust barriers and reached the students who have turned their attention to information sources that reinforce their own views. These may spark ideas that fit other situations. In Section 4, we discuss the related strategy of asking faculty and staff to help.

Convening unlikely allies, scheduling joint events, adding visuals

People who disagree do, nonetheless, share some values and goals. When people see that, it helps to humanize their disagreements and may be surprising enough to gain their attention, particularly if captured in an interesting photo. A group of Brown University students, for example, organized and held a vigil within two weeks of the start of violence in Israel and Gaza. The Brown president, provost, and other faculty and staff joined in person. The vigil didn’t attract many students – 100 persons attended, according to a news report – but the university and a newspaper published a photo of the university leaders and faculty, known local community members, and clergy interspersed with the students, holding lit candles in the dark and observing a moment of silence. Both news sources reported the Brown University chaplain’s statement: “There’s a flame that burns in every human heart that’s capable of kindling love, even in moments when hatred, fear and division feel as pervasive as they have over the past eleven days.”

A few weeks later, a Brown Palestinian-American student was shot and paralyzed while on vacation in Vermont in what is under investigation as a hate crime, and the Brown students again held a vigil. This time national news covered the vigil, and it included a protest, but was peaceful again.
Combining voices on common values
To increase the number of students who believe the message, campus leaders can ask trusted individuals to stand with them as they make a public announcement; issue individual but consistent, reinforcing statements simultaneously; or organize and execute a sequence of statements – all to convey that they agree on issues of basic safety and humane treatment, even as they disagree on other matters. Student leaders whose organizations hold conflicting views on the matter might be willing to issue a joint statement condemning hate incidents and violence. In a modification of the joint leader message approach, University of Wisconsin-Madison Chancellor Jennifer Mnookin quoted an inter-faith statement in the opening letter to the campus community in November 2023:

“I am writing to share what is, to my mind, an extraordinarily thoughtful statement prepared by faith-based and community leaders who are the UW-Madison Center for Interfaith Dialogue’s Faith Advisory Council. In it, they name a tension we are feeling acutely on our campus right now: the responsibility to ensure the right to free speech while simultaneously acknowledging that certain forms of legally protected speech can cause significant emotional harm to the members of our community. The leaders, representing a wide range of faith traditions, urge us to ‘speak freely, but with humility,’ and to ‘act strongly, but do no harm.’ Whether or not you are a person of faith, I am grateful for this call and echo the sentiments of the message. These wise leaders remind us that ‘when passionate advocacy leads people to disregard the safety… of others, free speech can cause serious harm.’”

Communicating with the people who talk to students
Parents, alumni, community members, and journalists are in communications with students. Taking advantage of this broader audience, Yale University President Peter Salovey, issued a broadly addressed email just as students would be finishing fall semester 2023 finals and returning to their families. His statement described the on-campus hate incidents to date, what was being done both to support and protect students and to teach them in ways that promote understanding and respect, and how free speech is protected.

Addressing what occurs on social media
As students check their mobile phones multiple times a day, they may be looking at hateful social media posts about the conflict. Some posts may contain misinformation and disturbing images or headlines designed to get clicks. Artificial intelligence permits rashes of divisive posts that may appear to be from fellow students, when they are actually generated elsewhere, even from offshore.

Posting videos
About 32% of young adults (aged 18 to 29) get their news from TikTok, a quadrupling from 2020 to 2023. To reach these students, the Campus Bridge Initiative has created language that campuses can use to record short videos, using their own students, to help students understand how to extend support to each other. The suggested posts will link to the checklist included in Section 4. Videos might be created to play on campus buses or in other places that students wait.

CONCLUDING THOUGHTS
This section may explain the dissonance between administrators who have carefully crafted the content of emails to the campus community and students who say that they have not heard from their leaders. Long emails may be the proverbial trees falling in a forest, heard by no one or at least unheard by students who gain news via their phones or social media. And trust dissipates during conflict. All of this underscores the importance of focusing not just on content but also on who issues the communication and how it is transmitted to each constituency – faith leaders, parents, dorm counselors, etc. – who affect support for students.
SECTION 4

ENHANCE STUDENT SUPPORT

Form teams of decisionmakers and experts to do the following quickly after a divisive/hate incident, implementing each when it fits the climate and emotions:

• Augment resources as necessary to assure that students are and feel safe and are able to participate in their educational programs.
• Encourage and guide students to extend individual support to friends.
• Make timely suggestions to faculty, staff, residence hall and student leaders, faith leaders, parents, and community groups on how they might reach out to students and each other to extend support.
• Organize vigils in safe locations if students are mourning.
• Provide trusted places for students to vent.
• Create options for students who want to contribute, such as participating in de-escalation teams or preparing humanitarian aid, when feasible.
• Arrange mediators who can interface with those groups likely to ask the university to make changes.
• Take advantage of the “teachable moment” to offer training in skills and knowledge that will be useful in careers and helpful in the moment.
• Let students know what is permitted or prohibited under student codes and laws affecting demonstrations.
• Establish or refer to existing trusted reporting sites, counseling, reports to campus, and condemnation for hate incidents.
• Identify and prepare for likely future flash points that will affect students.
• Listen to students and message about these options constantly.
• Begin preparations to host student discussions of the underlying conflict, to be held when students are ready to listen across their differences, appreciate each other’s experiences, and begin to heal.

WHY THIS MATTERS?

Well-crafted leadership statements and references to counseling resources and safe spaces is constructive and may be enough in some situations, but as we write this, in the midst of violence in Israel and Gaza, it is not. Seventy percent of students in one national poll said that their universities were not doing enough to support them, though it seems, anecdotally, that campus administrators have been working particularly hard to provide support for students. It is a moment to expand support – vastly.

The strategies suggested below reflect several assumptions, based on our collective experience. If students are feeling unsafe, addressing that, we assume, takes priority in terms of leadership actions. We assume that visible campus leadership support is calming and reassuring, but that people are most meaningfully supported by and can most comfortably vent and mourn with people they already trust – friends, professors and staff they interact with frequently, and family. In our experience, many students want to make a difference regarding a conflict – to provide humanitarian assistance, to advocate for a change in policy, to gain attention for a cause, or to help friends who are suffering. Going to the streets may constitute visible, public action to advance their goals, but it may also, in part, reflect frustration that they can do nothing else to help. Thus, we suggest that campus leaders can helpfully address their aspirations by holding vigils and creating ways, some listed below, for students to “do something.” We assume that anticipating flashpoints helps to have resources in place immediately. When people feel isolated, visible and frequent communications help reassure persons of their being valued members of the university community and may also correct false narratives on social media.
POSSIBLE STRATEGIES
Some strategies employed elsewhere may spark an idea.

Safety
A number of universities have recently added security and offered escorts. Yale President Peter Salovey took the added step of telling students that he had expanded security forces, summarizing hate incidents that had occurred, and noting that he was staying in touch with local police concerning any threats or indications of trouble.xxx
Taking the other steps on this checklist may also contribute to student safety.

Encouraging students to extend support to each other
Students told the Divided Community Project that they were hesitant to reach out to classmates whose position on the Middle East violence they did not know, fearing that they could face an argument or an unwanted request to sign a petition. Some reported that they were mostly going to class and heading straight home. The Project worked with a group of law students studying negotiation to create this relatable checklist, which seems to give students encouragement and a few hints for extending support while avoiding the dreaded arguments:

Extending support to other students during divisive events: a few ideas
Transformative events - such as the violence in the Middle East - affect us deeply, yet unevenly. Whether it is a brief interaction in a class or student club meeting or when passing one another in the hallway, you can reach out to support another student - even when your views differ from theirs. Here are some ideas to show support in brief one-on-one talks.

☐ Think before you talk and then talk like a real person. Remind yourself that the purpose for reaching out is to show support and empathy.

☐ Be genuine when connecting with other students. Let them know you value them as a person. There are no magic words; just be yourself when you reach out to check in. Acknowledge the situation and be supportive. (“I know there’s lots going on. It’s tough watching the news. How are you doing?”)

☐ If they are grieving a personal loss, express empathy. (“How are you feeling?” “Losing a loved one is unimaginable. I am sorry for your loss. I am here if you want to talk.”) Sometimes you can let them know you care by just sitting with them for a while without saying anything.

☐ Show that you hear them without judging or entering into an argument. (“I think I hear not only your sadness and concerns about the thousands of innocent lives lost but also apprehension about what happens next.” “So, with your connections to the region, you are feeling this with even more depth and urgency.”) If they try to persuade you to agree with their position, let them know that you’re focused on being a friend. (“There are some important arguments going on, but mostly I’m wondering how you’re doing in the midst of this awfulness.”)

☐ If they express concern about their safety or well-being, offer to help locate and make an appointment with safety resources, counselors, those who will help them report, or other professionals on campus. You might ask about their confidence or trust in available resources.

☐ Think about ways to conclude the conversation. Try to end on a positive note. Allow them to preserve self-esteem and leave them with the sense that you value them and want to be supportive in a difficult time. End the conversation after checking in, or, regrettably, if the discussion turns argumentative. (“Let’s stay in touch.” “Thanks for talking. This is a tough time.”)

☐ Reaching out matters even if they are not interested. The other person may not want to talk now, or at all. Respect their answer if they are not interested. (“I understand. If you want to talk later, let me know.”)

This checklist is for a student reaching out to another student. It might be useful for faculty and staff reaching out to support each other as well.
It may help to give students roleplay practice using such a list to build their skills and confidence. Colleges and universities may use this checklist (posted online here), create a derivative checklist, or re-brand the checklist with the local logo.

**Suggestions and guidance for faculty, staff, and others to extend support to students**

Administrators can usefully prepare faculty and staff for the ways that issues might play out in classrooms (taking the moment to thank and support these frontline personnel and encourage them to support each other). The suggestion may be as informal as Cardozo Law Dean Melanie Leslie’s email, featured in Section 1, in which she wrote that another professor “began class this week by taking a moment to acknowledge that students might be in distress and asking them to let her know if they were unprepared on any given day as a result,” noting that “very small gestures of kindness and empathy will make a very powerful difference.” Or a leader may more formally ask faculty to acknowledge compassionately and non-judgmentally that students may be feeling a variety of deep emotions regarding the event that has occurred and the availability of campus resources for them. They might point out when to announce a willingness to modify requirements for a student’s role in classroom discussions or assignment deadlines. Leaders can also counsel faculty, if warranted, against spotlighting a student who shares a race or ethnicity with one of the conflicting groupsxxxi or opening a discussion of the Middle East violence while emotions are so raw that students may still be angry and more tempted to insult than listen.

**Constructive options for students to contribute and learn**

Ideas for students need to fit the campus climate and the types and intensity of feelings. If they are mourning, perhaps organizing a vigil as Brown University’s at two sad moments or a collection drive for humanitarian assistance items to donate for displaced persons. If they are deeply angry, they still might extend support to each other and have discussions within their own faith communities.

When their anger is raw, they may not be able to listen to students with opposing views or discuss respectfully with them about the violence in the Middle East; that discussion might devolve into angry insults. Still, depending on the students and facilitator, this might be a teaching moment on skills that students could use immediately and would help them in the long-term. So, it’s tricky and not without risk – but worth exploring. And, if not now, the time will come when they are still interested and can learn in such a discussion. A time of raw emotions might also be a teaching moment on skills that students could use immediately and would help them in the long-term. For example, anticipating an upcoming difficult year politically, The Ohio State University launched widely attended campus-wide a difficult conversations series, with classes available for students at all levels and study areas.xxxii Another illustration of skills training might be the “ambassadors” training done in conflict areas by the U.S. Department of Justice’s Community Relations Service. Pairs representing both sides in a conflict learn to use their differences as a strength in de-escalating potentially violent situations at demonstrations and elsewhere.

Students may also become more interested during a conflict in more theoretical classes, such as constitutional guarantees for speech and assembly as well as civil rights laws that might result in accountability for harassment or taking a deeper dive into conflict resolution skills and processes. Or they may want to learn more about the history and culture of the region where the conflict is occurring.

Even when the campus divides because of conflict elsewhere, students often pose demands to their own college or university administrations that are designed to both tangibly and symbolically affect that situation. They might ask the institution to divest investments, fire a person, take a public position, or change the name of a program or building (on the naming issues, see this guide). It can be constructive to engage a civil rights mediator before such demands might emerge in order to keep in touch with student groups likely to demand an action that will lead to a flat “no” from the institution’s governing board (see Appendix: Resources, below). The mediator can teach students to meet with administrators to discuss their underlying interests, rather than issue an impractical demand, and can organize discussions that can lead to a positive result for all concerned.
Handling hate
Hate incidents can diminish the targeted students' sense of well-being and of their being a valued member of the college community. They affect not just the individual victim, but they also affect people who share the targeted identity with the victim. As discussed in Section 2, those students who are signing petitions or shouting the slogans that caused the problems may not realize the anxiety and hurt they are inflicting. Added to this difficult situation are those students who are away from home for the first time and too new on campus to have developed trusted friends. When they are targeted personally or as part of a group, they may be reeling.

Many universities have built extensive webpages for reporting incidents, learning about reported incidents, setting out an investigatory and adjudicatory process, explaining codes and laws, and announcing resources. The University of Massachusetts has an extensive one. This enables the campus to respond appropriately to secure accountability for perpetrators and safety of students and establishes a credible database that supplants rumors regarding the frequency and types of such occurrences.

Even if the reported painful, disruptive incident does not represent a violation of the student code or the law, it might be especially valued in a time of searing conflict for universities to make sure each student received the help they need by training those who might receive reports.

It will also be important to reinforce repeatedly the norms against taking out anger against leaders elsewhere in the world on campus community members who share a similar race, ethnicity, or religion. On some campuses, leaders announce prosecutions of those charged with hate crimes. Administrators could also make short videos for campus buses or other spots, as discussed in Section 3. For example, the Michigan Civil Rights Department created a YouTube public service announcement for city buses on hate reporting and opposing hate.

Predict and prepare for future flashpoints
A group knowledgeable about the underlying conflict and the campus can meet periodically to identify flashpoints and prepare leaders ahead, thus improving the college or university’s responses. Some potential flashpoints, such as a public statement about the conflict by a professor or a challenge to institutional investment policies, are campus specific. Developments in the underlying conflict – commitment of U.S. troops to join a war, for example – might lead to actions on a campus – such as sit-ins at the ROTC building. This group’s expertise and alliance network can valuably help prepare an appropriate response in advance of the event.

Constant listening and messaging
Repetition helps to reassure and to restate values. Ohio State University Interim President Peter Mohler included in his end-of-semester and holiday message to the campus a reminder that the Middle East fighting continued to be on the minds of administrators and that students had moral obligations as well. He wrote:

“During this holiday season, I would be remiss if I did not also acknowledge that as hopeful as we (OSU) are for the future, the present is still a challenge for too many. It is heartbreaking to see suffering, pain and death impacting people around the world, especially the Israeli and Palestinian people – and the resulting impacts on our community.”

“There is no question that division exists in our nation and around the world. The hate and intolerance we see on display daily...might suggest we are too divided to come together. It is more important than ever to demonstrate our values as Buckeyes...Our campuses are where we live, learn, teach and work. Ohio State must be a place where our community feels a sense of belonging – a place where everyone can succeed and thrive.”
Plans to stay in touch with students might also include: using two-way communication channels, informal techniques, and mediators to reach affected communities; watching and using social media to reach students and each part of the community that affect students; warning students about divisive comments on social media generated by artificial intelligence operations both offshore and onshore that heighten divisions on both sides can augment efforts to correct false stories before they “take hold.”

A social media checklist might include:

- **Digital citizenship:** Students learn about their rights and responsibilities as digital citizens, as well as how to protect their privacy and personal information online.

- **Media literacy:** Students develop the ability to critically analyze and evaluate media messages, including the ability to identify bias, propaganda, and fake news.

- **Cyberbullying and online harassment:** Students learn about the consequences of cyberbullying and online harassment, and how to prevent and address these issues.

- **Digital footprint and online reputation management:** Students learn how to manage their digital footprint and online reputation, including how to create a positive online presence and avoid damaging their reputation through inappropriate online behavior.

- **Social media ethics and etiquette:** Students learn about the ethical considerations of using social media, as well as the importance of proper etiquette and respectful communication online.

Preparing for healing

At some point, emotions will become less raw. At that point, many students will be willing to listen across their differences, appreciate each other’s experiences, and restore ties with those on all sides of the conflict. Plans for that period can begin immediately. Ideas used to restore relationships and take advantage of a learning moment might include:

- Panels of experts in the underlying conflict, following by facilitated discussions among students.
- Facilitated discussions in which students tell stories about how the violence related to their past experiences and those of their families.
- Initiatives to provide humanitarian aid to the region, co-sponsored by student groups that took differing approaches to the conflict.
- Joint statements by previously opposed student groups on values they share and a desire to restore relationships.

**CONCLUDING THOUGHTS**

Divisions affecting students deeply call for creative, well-organized, and extensive attention to and engagement by their leaders. “Here are our counseling and inclusion resources” will be insufficient in this setting. By answering the call to protect students, leaders can take advantage of their intense interests to help students learn skills and understandings that will contribute to their educational and lived success. They can remind students, repeatedly and through multiple media, to treat each other humanely. They can arrange the mutual support that keeps students resilient. They can focus them on the positive and away from hate.
Plan approaches to crowd events and understandings with law enforcement

Consider what will be done in each crowd situation. Protocols with law enforcement can reflect shared understandings of the approaches to be used under various circumstances, should protests, disruptions, or hate incidents occur. Plans for crowd events will vary, depending on the range of goals among participants and the likelihood of violence. Arrange a command post that includes campus administrators with law enforcement to modify plans as an event unfolds. Do joint planning as well for the possibility of hate incidents.

WHY TAKE THESE STEPS?
In disruptive but peaceful situations, campus leaders have choices. Those choices have consequences in terms of potential violence, criminal records for students, and student and community trust. The choices deserve due deliberation made possible through early discussions involving campus administrators and law enforcement personnel.

During this current conflict in Israel and Gaza, campus leaders have differed in their choices. In December 2023, student protesters held sit-ins in the administration building at Brown University and Haverford College. Brown police arrested and charged 41 students who did not leave by closing time on the first day. Fortunately for all, no students were injured during the arrest process. In contrast, Haverford administrators allowed protesters to stay for a week, at which time the students left voluntarily with no arrests or injuries. Administrators said that the College would address any issues through student code enforcement.

Choices for addressing disruptive but peaceful divisive events can be pivotal. The decisions have sometimes created new conflicts, with students who did not originally protest becoming angry about what they see as free speech limitations or calling in force against fellow students. As far back as 1970, the blue ribbon “Scranton Report” on dissension on campuses reported that when students assembled peacefully but violated traffic laws, crowded into a building, or interrupted a speaking event, police often warned the students to move and, when some did not comply, began to move them out forcibly (not always gently), including arresting some for resisting. Protesting students immediately shifted their focus to blaming university administrators for authorizing these punitive measures. On that issue, they were joined by students who had not originally been concerned about the underlying issues but wanted to stand by fellow students. Developing protocols ahead allows for discussions between campus and law enforcement leadership about who will make the pivotal decisions about moving students and how that will be accomplished.

A second benefit of reaching an agreement ahead is that it can be shared publicly. Students can be informed how they can handle the event without repercussions and warned of consequences.

Another advantage of the understandings with law enforcement is that campus administrators can then inform those in the community about the reasons for their approach. Otherwise, they may view a decision to allow disruption for a period of time to be one of ceding control to students.

Discussions with campus/city law enforcement might also focus on how to handle reports of identity group hate incidents, including those that do not constitute crimes. Hopefully, law enforcement will be willing to refer students in these situations to campus personnel who can follow up, rather than simply turning the student away.
In addition, the meetings can determine who will be present in a joint command post during a crowd event to change the plans as necessary and determine routes for the march or from an event that will keep participants safer. The “Unite the Right” march in Charlottesville in 2017 illustrates the importance of these agreements with law enforcement. According to an independent “after action” report, planning by state, city and university police was primarily internal to each, though a few planning communications occurred. As a result, state and city police could not communicate quickly when the event changed – their radio frequencies did not match. When the marchers reached the University of Virginia campus, the university police tried to handle the crowd by itself, with city police waiting outside campus. They failed to separate opposing demonstrations and did not ask city police to help until violence began. It then took time for the combined police forces to control the violence. The lack of shared plans or a joint command post to change them as events developed may have led to a failure to stem the violence on campus. That, in turn, may have emboldened protesters to engage in violence the following day.

**POSSIBLE STRATEGIES**
A series of joint discussions and actions between campus administration and law enforcement leadership might avert these and other avoidable difficulties.

**For crowd events:**
Consider involving in these discussions a representative of each law enforcement agency that might get involved in worst case scenarios: This might be not only campus and city law enforcement but also additional agencies, including county, state, or federal agencies that might be called in when more resources are needed.

Discuss who decides whether, at what point, and how law enforcement will intervene in nonviolent campus crowd situations. Recognizing that law enforcement intervention can change a nonviolent situation to a violent one, or vice versa, clarify understandings regarding who decides whether and when to intervene. University administrators may well decide to move or cancel an interrupted speech rather than risk injuries and student arrests or allow congested traffic situations rather than clear students out of a street, whereas law enforcement leaders acting at the scene might decide differently. Campus leaders may organize “ambassadors” to warn passersby to stay clear of the demonstration to avoid situations in which demonstrators hurt individuals they believe oppose their views. Discussing “how” to intervene also matters; examining de-escalation techniques may help. Consider whether these “how” decisions should or can be reduced to writing so that they remain operative as leadership changes in various agencies.

Decide who will be in the joint command post: In addition to representatives of campus, city, and other involved police agencies, it may be wise to include campus administrators and a civil rights mediator.

Discuss mutual sharing of information so that both sets of leaders can prepare for crowd events and recognize trends in hate incidents. In these settings particular attention should be paid to social media, which both students and community groups use to communicate news, plans, speeches, developments, etc. Recognize that any university leaders and law enforcement elements interacting with the crowd may be recorded or live streamed, so they should measure their responses so that posted videos do not escalate the situation.

Inquire about event plans and help event planners secure permits, explaining that safety of those involved in the event can be increased if information is shared.

Tell students of legal and student code limitations on their actions. Students may engage more safely in crowd events if they realize what actions might subject them to legal or student code sanctions, and that these may have consequences for their careers. Further, they may understand, if explained, that many of the limitations are designed to ensure their own safety.
For hate incidents:

Discuss training for law enforcement personnel to recognize:
- what are state and federal hate crimes,
- the importance of reporting them and where to report,
- that targeted hate negatively impacts not just the targeted individual, but also those who share the targeted identity,
- that complaints about hate incidents that are not crimes should be treated with empathy, compassion, and trauma-informed practices and referred to supportive resources.

Consider preparing joint law enforcement-university statements about reporting hate incidents. To convey how seriously these leadership personnel take such matters, leaders might, for example, assure community members that each individual report matters in terms of reducing such acts. They can also inform the community about punishments that courts have recently imposed for such acts in this jurisdiction, valuably signaling to students, especially those new to campus, about the risks they might be taking in engaging in actions that they may erroneously regard as protected speech but in reality may constitute a hate crime.

Organize transparency regarding hate incidents and their consequences. Both campus and law enforcement might accumulate and post reports on a website available to students, parents, and others, so that community stakeholders can be aware of safety risks. They should also report incidents to the FBI and the applicable state agency.

Transmit to law enforcement a list of current campus resources for counseling, health services, student faith centers, student affinity groups, and victim support services.

CONCLUDING THOUGHTS

Campus leaders may be tempted to “turn over” disruptive situations and hate complaints to law enforcement. If instead they plan ahead together – beginning before conflict generates protests and hate incidents -- reaching agreement on how to handle these situations, they may avert unnecessary violence, criminal records for students, alienation of students, new conflicts with students over their handling of the protests or hate reports, and unwelcome criticism from those within the broader community.
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APPENDIX: Resources

Consultation, mediation and training service offered without charge:

Available nationally:

Community Relations Service in the U.S. Department of Justice
CONTACT:
Phone: 202.305.2935 | Email: askcrs@usdoj.gov

“CRS serves as “America’s Peacemaker” for communities facing conflict based on actual or perceived race, color, national origin, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, religion, or disability. CRS works toward its mission by providing facilitated dialogue, mediation, training, and consultation to assist these communities to come together, develop solutions to the conflict, and enhance their capacity to independently prevent and resolve future conflict.

“All CRS services are confidential and provided on a voluntary basis, free of charge to the communities. CRS is not an investigatory or prosecutorial agency and does not have any law enforcement authority. CRS works with all parties to develop solutions to conflict and serves as a neutral party.”

Bridge Initiative of the Divided Community Project, The Ohio State University Moritz College of Law
CONTACT:
Bill Froehlich, Director, Divided Community Project,
Email: Froehlich.28@osu.edu | Website: https://go.osu.edu/dcp

“Upon request and at no cost, mediators and other experts with extensive experience in helping local leaders respond effectively to civil unrest and tension in communities across the country can help mediate conflicts between community and law enforcement, train local community members on effective strategies to keep protests safe, and offer technical assistance to executives and community members seeking to build sustainable infrastructure for inclusive engagement.”

Available within their states:

California Civil Rights Department's Community Conflict Resolution Unit
CONTACT:
Email: CCRU@calcivilrights.ca.gov
Website: https://calcivilrights.ca.gov/disputeresolution/community-conflict-services/

“The [CCRU] works with communities, and/or local and state public bodies to constructively manage or resolve conflict, minimize or eliminate the potential for violence, reduce or eliminate antagonism within communities, or help them reach mutually acceptable outcomes.”

Michigan Department of Civil Rights Community Engagement and Education Division
CONTACT:
Anthony Lewis, Director, Phone: 313-456-3740 | Email: LewisA4@michigan.gov
Website: https://www.michigan.gov/mdcr/divisions/community-engagement

“The [Crisis Response Team] initiates proactive measures and acts as needed to diffuse situations of community tension and unrest, and to assure that all people enjoy equal rights under the law. To carry out its responsibility, the Department monitors incidents involving race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, disability, and other civil rights-related matters.” The office offers related training.
New Jersey Division on Civil Rights Community Relations Unit

CONTACT:
Tee Leonardo-Santiago, Director of Community Relations
Email: Tisha.Leonardo@njcivilrights.gov | Website: www.NJCivilRights.gov

The Community Relations Unit offers civil rights mediation and consultation, as well as educational programs.

At the local level:

Community mediation programs often have mediators experienced in promoting discussions among groups of people and may offer training. A search function of the National Association for Community Mediation, NAFCM, https://www.nafcm.org/search/custom.asp?id=1949, allows a search for a local community mediation program. The local bar association may also have a list of mediators and their experience levels, though private practitioners will typically will charge fees.

Publications offered by the Divided Community Project without charge:

• A Checklist for Extending Support to Other Students, https://go.osu.edu/dcpexs.
• Maxwell Herath, Julie Howard, Konner Kelly, Meara Maccabee, Initiating Constructive Conversations Among Polarized University Student Groups: A Framework for Faculty, Staff and Students (Divided Community Project 2023), https://go.osu.edu/dcppsg.
• The Springfield University Simulation allows campus leaders to practice their response to an ongoing crisis. It's available from DCP Director Bill Froehlich, Froehlich.28@osu.edu.
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