

EU-U.S. DPF, UK Extension to the EU-U.S. DPF, and Swiss-U.S. DPF Arbitrator

Miriam H. Wugmeister
Morrison & Foerster LLP

Current Employer-Title	Morrison & Foerster LLP – Partner and Global Co-chair, Privacy and Data Security Group
Profession	Attorney specializing in privacy, cyber, and data protection.
Work History	Partner, Morrison & Foerster LLP, 2001 - Present; Associate, Morrison & Foerster LLP, 1995 - 2001; Associate, Proskauer Rose LLP, 1992 – 1995.
Experience & Expertise in U.S. Privacy Law and EU Data Protection Law and, As Appropriate, Any Other European Data Protection Law	<p>Miriam Wugmeister is called upon to provide advice on some of the largest and most complex privacy and data security issues globally. She has spent more than 20 years advising on cross-border data flows starting with the Safe Harbor Framework, then Privacy Shield, and now the Data Privacy Framework. She worked on the APEC cross-border principles and has advised on cross-border issues from countries as divergent as the EU, China, and Brazil. Ms. Wugmeister started working on international privacy issues in 2001 and has worked on dozens of matters involving Privacy Shield and its predecessor, the Safe Harbor Framework. Ms. Wugmeister also participated in one of the few arbitrations that was brought under the Safe Harbor. Few lawyers in the world share Ms. Wugmeister’s breadth and understanding of privacy and data security laws, obligations, and practices; she is familiar with the privacy and data security laws and regulations in every country in which they exist.</p> <p>As the leader of the Global Privacy Alliance (GPA), Ms. Wugmeister advocates for the rational development of privacy and data security laws around the world and monitors privacy practices, laws, and regulations globally. On behalf of the GPA’s members, she takes an active role in anticipating upcoming privacy legislation and educating regulators on the implications of proposed regulations.</p>
Experience & Training in Alternative Dispute Resolution	Ms. Wugmeister spent the first 15 years of her career as an employment litigator and in that capacity participated in numerous arbitrations, mediations and other forms of alternative dispute resolution. She also served as a Lemon Law arbitrator for the Massachusetts Small Claims court. Ms. Wugmeister also has participated in arbitrations and mediations as an advocate.
Professional Licenses	Admitted to the Bar: Connecticut, 1992; New York, 1993; California, 1995.

Miriam H. Wugmeister

As described respectively in Annex I of the EU-U.S. Data Privacy Framework (“EU-U.S. DPF”) Principles, the Letter from the U.S. Department of Commerce’s International Trade Administration (“ITA”) regarding the UK Extension to the EU-U.S. DPF, and Annex I of the Swiss-U.S. Data Privacy Framework (“Swiss-U.S. DPF”) Principles, an EU/EEA, UK (or Gibraltar), or Swiss individual has the option to invoke binding arbitration to determine whether a participating organization has violated its obligations under the DPF Principles as to that individual and whether any such violation remains fully or partially unremedied (“residual claims”).

The International Centre for Dispute Resolution® (“ICDR®”) is the international division of the American Arbitration Association® (“AAA®”) and the administrator for the EU-U.S. DPF Annex I Binding Arbitration Program and the Swiss-U.S. DPF Annex I Binding Arbitration Program. The ICDR-AAA provides arbitrators to parties on cases administered by the ICDR-AAA under the ICDR-AAA® EU-U.S. DPF Annex I Arbitration Rules and the ICDR-AAA® Swiss-U.S. DPF Annex I Arbitration Rules.

All information contained in this resume has been provided directly by the arbitrator in connection with potential selection for arbitrations brought pursuant to Annex I of the DPF Principles. Unless specified otherwise in their biographical information, arbitrators on the ICDR-AAA® EU-U.S. Data Privacy Framework Arbitrators roster or the ICDR-AAA® Swiss-U.S. Data Privacy Framework Arbitrators roster are not members of any other ICDR-AAA® roster of arbitrators.

Professional Associations	International Associate of Privacy Professionals
Education	Boston University School of Law (J.D. - 1992); Brandeis University (B.S. - 1986).
Languages	English
Publications, Speeches & Testimony	<p>An Unprecedented Cross-Border Data Regulatory Regime: The Biden Administration Announces New Program to Shield Sensitive U.S. Data Morrison Foerster (mofo.com)</p> <p>Prohibitions on Data Broker Sales to Foreign Adversaries Just Expanded Morrison Foerster (mofo.com)</p> <p>Expert Q&A on Aligning Cybersecurity and ESG Strategies; What Should Boards Think About After a Breach?;</p> <p>Four Tips for Organizations and Individuals To Protect Their Privacy in Post-Roe America;</p> <p>Biden Cybersecurity Order’s Biggest Impact: Software Supply Chain; U.S. Government Responds to SolarWinds Hack, Seeks to Establish New Norms for Cyber Espionage;</p> <p>Handling the Rise in Cyber Attacks in the Era of Remote Work; Will the EU Become an Information Island?;</p> <p>Navigating the SEC’s Latest Cybersecurity Disclosure Rules for Public Companies;</p> <p>WSJ Pro Cybersecurity Executive Forum – Regulation Around the World; Emerging Insider Threats: How Industry is Revisiting Risk Management and Incident Response;</p> <p>Anatomy of a Ransomware Attack;</p> <p>What Really Happens When You Call the FBI: An Inside Look at Law Enforcement Interactions During a Breach;</p> <p>Cyber Forensics for Lawyers: A Technical Primer on Hacking Techniques and Forensic Investigations;</p> <p>Webinar – “What the Schrems II Decision Means for your Business”;</p> <p>Schrems II: The Practical Implications; Above Board: Beyond the Breach: What Should Boards Think About?;</p> <p>Technology’s Not as Complicated as You Think;</p> <p>Above Board: Before, During and After a Breach: The Questions Boards Should Ask;</p> <p>Cyberattacks in the Time of Remote Work;</p> <p>Above Board: Cybersecurity - What Boards Should Know Now;</p> <p>Comments of the Global Privacy Alliance on Cybersecurity Risk Management, Strategy, Governance, and Incident Disclosure, SR-09-22, 87 FR 16590.</p>

Miriam H. Wugmeister

As described respectively in Annex I of the EU-U.S. Data Privacy Framework (“EU-U.S. DPF”) Principles, the Letter from the U.S. Department of Commerce’s International Trade Administration (“ITA”) regarding the UK Extension to the EU-U.S. DPF, and Annex I of the Swiss-U.S. Data Privacy Framework (“Swiss-U.S. DPF”) Principles, an EU/EEA, UK (or Gibraltar), or Swiss individual has the option to invoke binding arbitration to determine whether a participating organization has violated its obligations under the DPF Principles as to that individual and whether any such violation remains fully or partially unremedied (“residual claims”).

The International Centre for Dispute Resolution® (“ICDR®”) is the international division of the American Arbitration Association® (“AAA®”) and the administrator for the EU-U.S. DPF Annex I Binding Arbitration Program and the Swiss-U.S. DPF Annex I Binding Arbitration Program. The ICDR-AAA provides arbitrators to parties on cases administered by the ICDR-AAA under the ICDR-AAA® EU-U.S. DPF Annex I Arbitration Rules and the ICDR-AAA® Swiss-U.S. DPF Annex I Arbitration Rules.

All information contained in this resume has been provided directly by the arbitrator in connection with potential selection for arbitrations brought pursuant to Annex I of the DPF Principles. Unless specified otherwise in their biographical information, arbitrators on the ICDR-AAA® EU-U.S. Data Privacy Framework Arbitrators roster or the ICDR-AAA® Swiss-U.S. Data Privacy Framework Arbitrators roster are not members of any other ICDR-AAA® roster of arbitrators.